

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Iress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

au

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/037,945 03/10/98 FAZAN F, MICRON.00301 **EXAMINER** MM92/1121 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR FOURSON III.G SIXTEENTH FLOOR PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660-8016 2823 **DATE MAILED:** 11/21/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

1- File Copy

Application No. 09/037,945

**George Fourson** 

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

2823

Fazan et al



## Office Action Summary

is/are allowed. is/are objected to. ect to restriction or election requirement.
month(s), or thirty days, whicheve hin the period for response will cause the by be obtained under the provisions of sare pending in the application.  is/are pending in the application.  is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  ect to restriction or election requirement.
month(s), or thirty days, whicheve hin the period for response will cause the by be obtained under the provisions of sare pending in the application.  is/are pending in the application.  is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  ect to restriction or election requirement.
hin the period for response will cause the by be obtained under the provisions of is/are pending in the application is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed is/are rejected is/are objected to. ect to restriction or election requirement.
is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. ect to restriction or election requirement.
is/are withdrawn from consideration is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. ect to restriction or election requirement.
is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. ect to restriction or election requirement.
is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. ect to restriction or election requirement.
is/are rejected. is/are objected to. ect to restriction or election requirement.  9-948. Examiner.
is/are objected to. ect to restriction or election requirement. 9-948. Examiner.
ect to restriction or election requirement. 9-948. Examiner.
)-948. ixaminer.
xaminer.
xaminer.
approved 🗀 disapproved.
pprovod <u>Laleapp</u> roved.
C. § 119(a)-(d).
ocuments have been
·
Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
S.C. § 119(e).
•

Application/Control Number: 09/037,945

Art Unit 2814

Claims 1 and 4 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,4 and 5 of copending Application No. 08/565,991.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims are generic to the claims of the application (see MPEP 806.04(I)).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 1,2,4,8,9,14,16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over German Patent 266885.

The rejection is maintained as stated in the paper mailed 6/6/2000.

Applicant argues that there is no suggestion or motivation to omit the wet oxidation step of Germany '885. However, in view of the disclosed purpose of such a step, namely shortening the time required to form a desired thickness of field oxide It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to omit the step with the expectation that the disclosed concomitant advantages would not be obtained. See MPEP 2144.04, II, A.

Application/Control Number: 09/037,945

Art Unit 2814

77

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Germany '885 as applied to claims 1,2,4,5,8,9 and 10 above, and further in view of Marshall et al and Miyoshi et al.

The rejection is maintained as stated in the paper mailed 6/6/2000.

Claims 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marshall et al in view of Sze.

The rejection is maintained as stated in the paper mailed 6/6/2000.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. **See MPEP 203.08**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner George Fourson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2544. The examiner can

Application/Control Number: 09/037,945

Art Unit 2814

normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax number for this group is (703)308-7722(7724,3431 and 3432). MPEP 502.01 contains instructions regarding procedures used in submitting responses by facsimile transmission.

George Hourson

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2823

GFourson November 19, 2000